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Summary.-A method of finding the flexural axis of unsymmetrical thin-walled sections is described that not only obviates the necessity for first finding the principal axes of inertia, but also simplifies the whole procedure.
$\qquad$

1. The way to find the flexural axis of a thin hollow section with at least one axis of symmetry is now well known ${ }^{1}$, but although a method of dealing with an asymmetrical section on much the same basis immediately suggests itself, it can be a very tedious and unsatisfactory method in practice. The saving of labour made possible by the method described here may be understood immediately by considering what happens physically in the case of a simple example of an asymmetrical section as compared with the behaviour of a section with one plane of symmetry.


Fig. 1c.

Let the latter be represented by the channel section of Fig. 1a and the corresponding asymmetrical section by Fig. 1b. Each section has a flexural axis position such that if a transverse load is there applied the channel bends without twisting. If the load is a down load (i.e., parallel to the web of the channel) we know that the symmetrical section will be displaced in

[^0]the direction of the applied load but the asymmetrical section will not. To make the asymmetrical section bend in the direction of the applied force that force must be applied along one of the two mutually perpendicular principal axes of inertia, and the method of finding the flexural axis, referred to above as immediately suggesting itself, consists in first finding the directions of these principal axes ( $O^{\prime \prime} M$ and $O^{\prime \prime} N$ say of Fig. 1c) and then considering the two cases of pure bending about each of them. The two lines of action of the resultant forces thus obtained intersect at the flexural axis, since each must pass through it. The task of finding the principal axes is laborious in itself and also it can be seen from Fig. 1c that the section is in an awkward position relative to the axes for calculating the accompanying shear stresses.

The method advocated here is to consider bending about the axes $O^{\prime} P$ and $O^{\prime} Q$ of Fig. 1b, recognising that to ensure bending about $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$, for example, the direction of the transverse force will not be along the perpendicular to $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ but at an angle to it. That angle is found by the simple expedient of assuming that the force is applied at the correct angle to give bending about $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ and then calculating the shears along the three sides $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ by the standard method. The resultant of these shear forces is a single shear force whose magnitude and line of action can be readily calculated.

The section is next considered as bending about the neutral axis $O^{\prime} Q$ and the new line of action $R^{\prime} \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ of the resultant of the shears along the three sides of the channel obtained. The intersection F of these two lines of action locates the flexural axis.

It is noted that the principal axes need not be known and that the bending stresses are readily obtained in virtue of the fact that the sides of the channel are in each case either parallel or perpendicular to the neutral axis.
2. For a closed section the same method is applicable with, of course, the usual added complication that there is no point at which the shear stress is known, whereas it is known at the free edges of the open section.

In that case, as described in Ref. 1 for symmetrical sections, the shear stresses must be obtained in terms of an unknown shear stress $\tau_{0}$ at a convenient point on the periphery of the section. By putting in the condition of zero twist, i.e., by making the integral $\int \tau_{0} d s$ (shear stress multiplied by element of periphery) round the whole boundary equal to zero, or, what amounts to the same thing, making the shear strain energy a minimum, the value of $\tau_{0}$ is obtained and hence the line of action of the resultant shear.
3. As an example, the above method is applied, in an appendix, to the case of the asymmetrical channel, and, as that is a section that is fairly common in practice, the work is followed by a diagram that allows the flexural axis position for various proportions of the three sides of the channel to be read off.
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## APPENDIX

Example

Referring to the figure
let $h$ be depth of web.
$b_{1}, b_{2}$ widths of flanges,
$t_{1}, t_{2}, t \quad$ thicknesses of flanges and web
$O x, O y$ axes through middle point of the web
C. centre of gravity $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$
$\mathrm{CG}_{1}, \mathrm{CG}_{2}$ parallel axes through C
$I_{1}, I_{2}$ moment of inertia of section

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}=\frac{\frac{t_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2}}{2}+\frac{t_{2} b_{2}^{2}}{2}}{t_{1} b_{1}+t h+t_{2} b_{2}}, \\
& \bar{y}=\frac{\frac{h}{2}\left(t_{1} b_{1}-t_{2} b_{2}\right)}{t_{1} b_{1}+t h+t_{2} b_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us first apply loads so as to bend the section about the line $\mathrm{CG}_{1}$, i.e., the bending takes place in the plane of the web. Let $V, R_{1}, R_{2}$ be the resultant shearing forces in the web and flanges as shown. We shall find $R_{1}, R_{2}$ in terms of $V$ by using the well-known formulae for shear stress in a beam.

For the top flange, the shear stress at distance $x$ from the root of the flange

$$
=\frac{V h_{\mathbf{1}}\left(b_{1}-x\right)}{I_{1}}
$$

The total shear force $R_{1}$ in the top flange is obtained by integrating the above expression from 0 to $b_{1}$, i.e.,

$$
R_{1}=\frac{V h_{1} t_{1}}{I_{1}} \frac{b_{1}^{2}}{2}
$$

Similarly the total shearing force $R_{2}$ in the bottom flange is given by

$$
R_{2}=\frac{V h_{2} t_{2} b_{2}{ }^{2}}{2 I_{1}}
$$

Now we know that if coplanar forces $X_{r}, Y_{r}$ act at points $x_{r}, y_{r}$ in the $x y$-plane the line of action of their resultant is given by*

$$
y \Sigma X_{r}-x \Sigma Y_{r}+\Sigma\left(x_{r} Y_{r}-y_{r} X_{r}\right)=0
$$

so that the line of action of the resultant force referred to $O x, O y$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(h_{2} t_{2} b_{2}^{2}-h_{1} t_{1} b_{1}^{2}\right)+2 I_{1} x+\frac{h}{2}\left(t_{2} h_{2} b_{2}^{2}+t_{1} h_{1} b_{1}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider bending about $\mathrm{CG}_{2}$

$$
\underbrace{\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{R_{1}}}_{\mathrm{B}} \int_{\mathrm{C}}^{G_{2} \xrightarrow{V^{1}}} \begin{aligned}
& R_{R_{1}}{ }^{1}=\frac{V^{11} t_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2}}{6 I_{2}}\left(2 b_{1}-3 \bar{x}\right), \\
& R_{2}{ }^{1}=\frac{V^{1} t_{2} b_{2}{ }^{2}}{6 I_{2}}\left(2 b_{2}-3 \bar{x}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V^{11}$ is the total shearing force in the section perpendicular to the axis of bending, i.e.,

$$
V^{11}=R_{1}^{1}+R_{2}^{1}
$$

At B, shear flux (i.e., shear stress $x$ thickness)

$$
=\frac{V^{11} t_{1}}{2 I_{2}}\left(b_{1}^{2}-2 \bar{x} b_{1}\right)
$$

at C, shear flux

$$
=\frac{-V^{11} t_{2}}{2 I_{2}}\left(b_{2}^{2}-2 \bar{x} b_{2}\right)
$$

Mean value of shear flux along BC

$$
=\frac{V^{11}}{4 I_{2}}\left\{t_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2}-t_{2} b_{2}{ }^{2}-2 \bar{x}\left(t_{1} b_{1}-t_{2} b_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Since the shear varies linearly along $B C$
we have

$$
V^{1}=\frac{h V^{11}}{4 I_{2}}\left\{t_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2}-t_{2} b_{2}{ }^{2}-2 \bar{x}\left(t_{1} b_{1}-t_{2} b_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

The line of action of the resultant force is therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 y\left\{t_{2} b_{2}{ }^{2}\left(2 b_{2}-3 \bar{x}\right)+t_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2}\left(2 b_{1}-3 \bar{x}\right)\right\} \\
& +3 h x\left\{t_{2} b_{2}\left(b_{2}-2 \bar{x}\right)-t_{1} b_{1}\left(b_{1}-2 \bar{x}\right)\right\} \\
& +h\left\{t_{2} b_{2}^{2}\left(2 b_{2}-3 \bar{x}\right)-t_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2}\left(2 b_{1}-3 \bar{x}\right)\right\}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]When the thickness is constant round the section, i.e., $t_{1}=t_{2}=t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}=\frac{\left(b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}\right)}{2\left(b_{1}+h+b_{2}\right)} ; \quad \bar{y}=\frac{(h / 2)\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)}{\left(b_{1}+h+b_{2}\right)} \\
h_{1}=\frac{h\left(2 b_{2}+h\right)}{2\left(b_{1}+h+b_{2}\right)} ; \quad h_{2}=\frac{h\left(2 b_{1}+h\right)}{2\left(b_{1}+h+b_{2}\right)} \\
I_{1}=\frac{t h^{2}}{12\left(b_{1}+h+b_{2}\right)}\left\{h^{2}+4 h\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)+12 b_{1} b_{2}\right\} \\
I_{2}=\frac{t}{12\left(b_{1}+h+b_{2}\right)}\left\{\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)^{4}-12 b_{1}^{2} b_{2}^{2}+4 h\left(b_{1}^{3}+b_{2}^{3}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these, and putting $\lambda=b_{1} / h_{;} \mu=b_{2} / h$, the equations (1) and (2) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& 6 y(\mu-\lambda)(\mu+\lambda+2 \lambda \mu)+2 x\{1+4(\lambda+\mu)+12 \lambda \mu\} \\
&+3 \hbar\left\{\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+2 \lambda \mu(\lambda+\mu)\right\}=0, \quad . \quad .  \tag{3}\\
& 2 y\left\{(\lambda+\mu)^{4}-12 \lambda^{2} \mu^{2}+4\left(\lambda^{3}+\mu^{3}\right)\right\}+6 x(\mu-\lambda)(\lambda+\mu+2 \lambda \mu) \\
&+h\left\{\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}+4 \lambda \mu\right)+4\left(\mu^{3}-\lambda^{3}\right)\right\}=0 . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

We can, if we wish, solve for $x / h, y / h$ to obtain the co-ordinates of the flexural centre directly, but the resulting expressions are cumbrous; the point is more easily found as the intersection of the two lines

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\frac{x / h}{a_{1}}+\frac{y / h}{b_{1}}+1=0, & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\frac{x / h}{a_{2}}+\frac{y / h}{b_{2}}+1=0, \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & . . & \ldots & \ldots  \tag{6}\\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\frac{3\left\{\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+2 \lambda \mu(\lambda+\mu)\right\}}{2\{1+4(\lambda+\mu)+12 \lambda \mu)} \\
& b_{1}=\frac{\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}+2 \lambda \mu(\lambda+\mu)}{2(\mu-\lambda)(\mu+\lambda+2 \lambda \mu)} \\
& a_{2}=\frac{\left\{\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}+4 \lambda \mu\right)+4\left(\mu^{3}-\lambda^{3}\right)\right\}}{6(\mu-\lambda)(\mu+\lambda+2 \lambda \mu)} \\
& b_{2}=\frac{\left\{\left(\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\mu^{2}+\lambda^{2}+4 \lambda \mu\right)+4\left(\mu^{3}-\lambda^{3}\right)\right\}}{2\left\{(\lambda+\mu)^{4}-12 \lambda^{2} \mu^{2}+4\left(\lambda^{3}+\mu^{3}\right)\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $-a_{1} h,-b_{1} h$ are the intercepts made by the straight line (5) on the $x$ - and $y$-axes
respectively; $-a_{2} h,-b_{2} h$ are the corresponding intercepts made by the line respectively; - $a_{2} h,-b_{2} h$ are the corresponding intercepts made by the line (6). The intersection of the two lines is found graphically.

The method can be applied to any particular line section by choosing two suitable axes of bending in each case as shown in the diagrams.



Flexural axis chart.
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[^1]:    *For, a force $X_{r}, Y_{r}$ at point $x_{r}, y_{r}$ is equivalent to a force $X_{r}, Y_{r}$ at the origin, together with a couple $x_{r} Y_{r}-y_{r} X_{r}$ in the plane. Reducing all the forces to equivalent forces and couples at a point $x^{1}, y^{1}$, we obtain that the forces $X_{r}, Y_{r}$ at $x_{r}^{r}, y_{r}$ are equivalent to forces $X_{r}, Y_{r}$ and couples $\Sigma\left\{\left(x_{r}-x^{1}\right) Y_{r}-\left(y_{r}-y^{1}\right) X_{r}\right\}$ in the plane. If $x^{1}, y^{1}$ lies on the resultant of the forces $X_{r}, Y_{r}$ at $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$, then the couple $\Sigma\left\{\left(x_{r}-x^{1}\right) Y_{r}-\left(y_{r}-y^{1}\right) X_{r}\right\}=0$, i.e., $y^{1} \Sigma X_{r}-x^{1} \Sigma Y_{r}$ $+\Sigma\left(x_{r} Y_{r}-y_{r} X_{r}\right)=0$. Changing into current co-ordinates, the equation of the line of action of the resultant of the coplanar system of forces $X_{r}, Y_{r}$ at $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ is given by $y \Sigma X_{r}-x \Sigma Y_{r}+\Sigma\left(x_{r} Y_{r}-y_{r} X_{r}\right)=0$.

